25X1A9a

## Approved For Release 2000/05/23". CIA-RDP63-00314R000100190011-1

DRAFT
2 September 1960

Col. Robert Herndon

Mr. Robert H. Kingsley

Aeronaturical Chart and Information Center

Second and Arsenal Street \$

St. Louis 18, Missouri

Dear Colould handed.

from his listed Kingsley to

Reference is made to year letter of 23 August, 1960 inquiring about the action taken on a proposal to send a geodesist to the USSR on a one-year scholarship. By proposal to the USIB Committee on Exchanges was submitted as one of three alternatives to a previously proposed exchange of scholarships in oceanography between the US and the USSR. This latter proposal was evaluated by an ad hoc group of the Committee as not being of net value to the US; at the same time a suggestion was made that consideration be an alternative recipies of Scholarship in other fields which might make the original oceanographer scholarship exchange of net benefit to we use US. I submitted a brief to the USIB Committee on 22 July 1960 suggesting that a scholarship in geodesy for a US geodesist at the Novosibirsk Institute of Geodesy, Photogrammetry and Cartography be requested from the Soviets in exchange for a one-year scholarship

Approved For Release 2000/05/23 : CIA-RDP63-00314R000100190011-1

SECOLT

## Approved For Release 2000/05/23 : CIA-RDP63-00314R000100190011-1

at the Scripps Institute of Oceanography for a Soviet oceanographer, Dr. Dmitre Smetanin. This brief, with two other alternatives, was referred on 2 August 1960 by the USIB Committee to the Scientific Intelligence Committee for evaluation. The SIC considered the matter on 17 August 1960 and reported that on balance the proposed alternatives would result in a net intelligence loss to the U.S. It is difficult for to understand how the argumentation developed which led to this conclusion. You probably could get more details from the USAF member on the SIC, Mr. Jonathan H. Gilmore.

We

determination of the availability of suitable candidates. I might suggested informally by the Secretary, USIC Committee on Exchanges that mention that although we could further pursue the matter independently

of the above case, by seeking an exchange of geodesists between the two countries, it is my belief that this would prove fruitless since in April 1960 the Soviets submitted an aide memoire stating that Soviet organizations were not interested in an exchange in the near future in the field of cartography and geodesy. It was now hope that perhaps an exchange wanted very much by the Soviets might have enticed an exchange in which we had a strong interest.

# regret that this didn't work out.

Please extent my appreciation to Colonel Herndon and has staff for the positive consideration given this matter.

Sincerely yours,

25X1A9a